

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,323	10/09/2000		Bin Zhao	97RSS433DIV	6870
25700	7590	11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
FARJAMI	& FARJA	AMI LLP	PERALTA, GINETTE		
26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	
W	
ress	
andonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the	
er is later. In no	
OWT NIHTIW C	
ension fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any	
ths of the date of the appeal. a).	
because	
the issues for	
(PTOL-324).	
nent canceling	
explanation of	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/686,323	ZHAO, BIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ginette Peralta	2814	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid about this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 C (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within following time periods: The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicheve event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exte been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mont 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on __ of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 118 and 119. Claim(s) objected to: 100,101 and 110-113. Claim(s) rejected: 93-99,104-109,116 and 117. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to applicant's argument that Michael et al. discloses that the first dielectric is preferably formed from TEOS and that the sealing layer comprises a silane based oxide, which is a different material, it is noted that Michael et al. discloses in col. 7, lines 12-15 that the sealing layer (i.e. capping layer 30) comprises TEOS that results in a more planar upper surface of layer 30, thus Michael et al. teaches that the first dielectric layer and the sealing layer both comprise the same material.

HOAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER